

POWERED BY COR2ED

#### **MEETING SUMMARY** ASCO GU, FEBRUARY 16-18 2017, ORLANDO, USA

NEERAJ AGARWAL, MD ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, HUNTSMAN CANCER INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

# ADVANCEMENTS AND NEW TREATMENT PARADIGMS IN METASTATIC RCC

A PHASE II STUDY OF ATEZOLIZUMAB WITH OR WITHOUT BEVACIZUMAB VS SUNITINIB IN UNTREATED METASTATIC RENAL CELL CARCINOMA PATIENTS

#### DAVID McDERMOTT ET AL.

Presented at the 2017 Genitourinary Cancer Symposium Orlando, Florida, February 2017

#### ATEZOLIZUMAB + BEVACIZUMAB



- A Phase Ib study in first-line mRCC showed anti-tumor activity and a tolerable safety profile for atezolizumab + bevacizumab<sup>1,2</sup>
- Sequential tumor biopsies provided preliminary evidence of improved anti-tumor immune responses following treatment with bevacizumab and atezolizumab + bevacizumab<sup>2</sup>

# **IMMOTION150 (PHASE II) TRIAL DESIGN**





- The coprimary endpoints: PFS (RECIST v1.1 by IRF) in ITT and PD-L1+ patients
- Designed to be hypothesis generating and inform the trial design of the Phase III study IMmotion151

#### **BASELINE DEMOGRAPHICS (ITT)**



	Sunitinib n = 101	Atezolizumab + bevacizumab n = 101	Atezolizumab n = 103	
Age, median (range), y	61 (25-85)	62 (32-88)	61 (27-81)	
Male, n (%)	79 (78%)	74 (73%)	77 (75%)	
KPS ≥ 80, n (%)	94 (93%)	99 (99%)	101 (99%)	
Predominant clear cell histology, n (%)	96 (96%)	97 (96%)	95 (92%)	
Sarcomatoid component, n (%)	14 (14%)	15 (15%)	16 (15%)	
Prior nephrectomy, n (%)	88 (87%)	88 (87%)	89 (86%)	
MSKCC risk category, n (%)				
Favorable (0)	21 (21%)	30 (30%)	26 (25%)	
Intermediate (1 or 2)	70 (69%)	62 (61%)	69 (67%)	
Poor (≥ 3)	10 (10%)	9 (9%)	8 (8%)	
$\ge$ 1% of IC expressing PD-L1 (PD-L1+), n (%)	60 (59%)	50 (50%)	54 (52%)	

## **PROGRESSION FREE SURVIVAL OUTCOMES**



Stratified HR (as % CI) **PFS (Months) All Patients** <sub>e</sub> Value Atezo + Bev (n=101) vs. Sunitinib 1.00 (0.69 - 1.45) 11.7 vs 8.4 0.987 (n=101) 1.19 (0.82 - 1.7) Atezo (n=103) vs. Sunitinib (n=101) 6.1 vs 8.4 0.358 Patients with  $\ge 1\%$  IC expressing **PD** – L1 Atezo + Bev (n=50) vs. Sunitinib 14.7 vs 7.8 0.64 (0.38-1.08) 0.095 (n=60) Atezo (n=54) vs. Sunitinib (n=60) 5.5 vs 7.8 1.03(0.63 - 1.67)0.917

 Similar baseline demographics, MSKCC risk category, with >90% clear cell predominant mRCC

Presented at the 2017 Genitourinary Cancer Symposium.

<sup>a</sup> *P*values are for descriptive purposes only and not adjusted for multiple comparisons.

### CONCLUSIONS



- Atezolizumab + bevacizumab resulted in encouraging efficacy vs sunitinib in the PD-L1+ subgroup of first-line mRCC patients
- The efficacy of atezolizumab + bevacizumab vs sunitinib is being evaluated in the ongoing Phase III trial (IMmotion151; NCT02420821)
- Safety in the atezolizumab arm and the atezolizumab + bevacizumab arm was consistent with previous data of each drug alone

EVOLUTION OF CIRCULATING TUMOR DNA (CTDNA) PROFILE FROM FIRST-LINE (1L) TO SECOND-LINE (2L) THERAPY IN METASTATIC RENAL CELL CARCINOMA (MRCC)

SUMANTA K. PAL, MD ET AL.

Presented at the 2017 Genitourinary Cancer Symposium Orlando, Florida, February 2017

### INTRODUCTION



- Multiple targeted therapies approved for mRCC<sup>1</sup>
- Efforts such as TCGA have revealed insights into tumor biology<sup>2</sup>
  - Data may reflect earlier disease states
  - No predictive biomarkers clinically implemented
- Circulating biomarkers represent a practical means of serially assessing tumor biology<sup>3</sup>
  - Advanced cancers shed DNA in blood
  - Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) can account for tumor heterogeneity
- Objective was to determine the mutational landscape of advanced RCC using ctDN, and to assess changes across patients receiving 1st-line and subsequent treatment

#### RESULTS

GU Connect POWERED BY COR2ED

- ctDNA collected from 224 patients
- Average age 61 (range, 23-87)
- M:F 149:75
- Histologic subtype specified in 128 cases
  - 71% clear cell
  - 11% papillary
- ctDNA detected in 79% of patients with an average of 3.3 genomic alterations (GAs) detected per patient.
- Of 633 GAs identified, preponderance of single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and small insertions/deletions (indels) (89% of all mutations)









#### ■ 1st-Line ■ Post-1st-Line

Presented at the 2017 Genitourinary Cancer Symposium.

#### CONCLUSIONS



- ctDNA detected in the majority of patients (79%) with mRCC
- Most frequent GAs in the overall cohort included *TP53,VHL*, *EGFR*, *NF1* and *ARID1A*
- Compared to patients receiving 1<sup>st</sup>-line therapy, patients receiving post-1<sup>st</sup>-line agents had increased GAs in *TP53*, *NF1*, *EGFR*, and *PIK3CA*
- These alterations underscore potential mechanisms of resistance and may guide treatment selection upon disease progression after first line therapy

A SINGLE-ARM BIOMARKER-BASED PHASE II TRIAL OF SAVOLITINIB IN PATIENTS WITH ADVANCED PAPILLARY RENAL CELL CANCER

#### TONI K. CHOUEIRI ET AL.

Presented at the 2017 Genitourinary Cancer Symposium Orlando, Florida, February 2017

### **BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION**



- Of the non-clear cell renal carcinomas, papillary RCC (PRCC) is the most common with no drug approved for specifically for PRCC
- MET and its ligand, hepatocyte growth factor, are known to play an important role in the molecular events underlying oncogenesis in PRCC<sup>1,2</sup>
- Savolitinib (AZD6094, HMPL-504, volitinib) is a potent, selective MET inhibitor which has shown activity in patients with MET-driven PRCC in a phase I study<sup>3</sup>
- A phase II study of savolitinib for patients with PRCC, in whom antitumor activity was correlated with MET pathway alterations is reported (Clinicaltrials.gov identifier: NCT02127710)

### PATIENTS AND METHODS



- **Single-arm, multicenter, global, phase II study** designed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of savolitinib (600 mg orally daily) in patients with PRCC, irrespective of prior treatment
- **Primary objective**: to assess the objective response rate (ORR) to savolitinib in all patients with PRCC and by MET status
- **Secondary objectives**: change in target lesion tumor size from baseline, progression-free survival (PFS) and duration of response (DoR)
- Key inclusion criteria: histologically confirmed locally advanced or metastatic PRCC, predicted life expectancy ≥12 weeks, age ≥18 years and adequatehematologic, hepatic and renal function
- **Exclusion criteria**: prior or current MET inhibitor treatment





#### Tumor responses in the overall treatment population and by MET status

RECIST response, n (%)	MET-driven (n=44)	MET- independent (n=46)	MET Unknown (n=19)	Total (N=109)
PR <sup>†</sup>	8 (18)*	0 (0)	0 (0)	8 (7)
SD	22 (50)	11 (24)	5 (26)	38 (35)
PD	11 (25)	28 (61)	9 (47)	48 (44)
NE	3 (7)	7 (15)	5 (26)	15 (14)

\*p=0.002 vs MET-independent subgroup (Fisher exact test). Responses assessed according to RECIST version 1.1. †Unconfirmed responses excluded. PD, progressive disease; NE, not evaluable.

### **SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**



- 8 of 44 (18%) patients with MET- driven PRCC achieved a PR
- PFS was significantly longer in patients with MET-driven PRCC compared with MET-independent disease (6.2 versus 1.4 months, respectively (p<0.0001)
- Treatment with savolitinib was generally well tolerated, with the majority of AEs being grade 1 or 2
- These data support the hypothesis that savolitinib has anti-tumor activity in patients with MET-driven PRCC



GU CONNECT Bodenackerstrasse 17 4103 Bottmingen SWITZERLAND

Dr. Antoine Lacombe Pharm D, MBA Phone: +41 79 529 42 79 antoine.lacombe@cor2ed.com

Dr. Froukje Sosef MD Phone: +31 6 2324 3636 <u>froukje.sosef@cor2ed.com</u>

