Prognosis and management of advanced digestive welldifferentiated grade 3 neuroendocrine tumors (G3 NETs): a NET-CONNECT study performed in four expert centers

de Mestier L, Lamarca A, Hernando-Cubero J, Alonso Gordoa T, Cros J, Chakrabatry B, Landolfi S, Palacios J, Thomlinson V, Pavel M, Capdevila J

Clichy (France), Manchester (UK), Barcelona (Spain), Madrid (Spain), Erlangen (Germany)

 Context : The prognosis and management of G3 NETs are poorly defined and differ from those of neuroendocrine carcinoma (NECs) The most appropriate treatment for patients with advanced G3 NETs has yet to be determined
Aim : Describe a retrospective cohort of patients with advanced digestive G3 NETs and depict their prognosis and treatment
Patients : Patients with advanced digestive G3 NET from 4 expert centers
Design : A central virtual pathological review of all cases was performed after digitalization of Haematin-Eosin and Ki67 slides

The Objective response rates (ORR) to the two first treatment lines (primary endpoint) was analyzed depending on the type of treatment: NEC-like, alkylating agent (temozolomide or streptozotocin) (ALK), or somatostatin analogs (SSAs) Factors associated with ORR and overall survival (OS) were assessed using logistic or Cox regression models, respectively



### **Characteristics of the 55 patients**

	N or median	% or IQR
	55.9	45.4 - 65.8
	31	56.4
n A staining	49	89.1
n staining	46/47	97.9
	30	25 - 40
Pancreas/Biliary	37	63.7
Colon/Intestine/ Stomach	12	21.8
Unknown/Other	6	10.9
Previous primary tumor surgery		21.8
PS-0	24	43.6
PS-1	26	47.3
PS-2	5	9.1
d symptoms	35	63.6
yndrome	14	25.2
Locally advanced	1	1.8
M1a (liver mets)	25	45.5
M1b (extra-hepatic mets)	18	32.7
M1c (liver and bone mets)	11	20
netastases	29/54	52.7
metastases	47/54	85.5
ty > liver	25/30	83.3
SST imaging positive		76.4
n A plasma (xULN) (n=48)	6.5	1.8 - 17.5
(ULN) (n=23)	1.6	1.0 - 2.4
(ULN) (n=31)	0.9	0.7 - 1.2
ULN) (n=51)	1.4	1.0 - 3.5
	A staining Pancreas/Biliary Colon/Intestine/ Stomach Unknown/Other ary tumor surgery PS-0 PS-1 PS-2 A symptoms yndrome Locally advanced M1a (liver mets) M1b (extra-hepatic mets) M1b (extra-hepatic mets) M1b (extra-hepatic mets) M1b (liver and bone mets) metastases metastases ty > liver ositive A plasma (xULN) (n=48) xULN) (n=31) xULN) (n=51)	N or median       55.9       31       A staining     49       n staining     46/47       30       Pancreas/Biliary     37       Colon/Intestine/     12       Stomach     12       Unknown/Other     6       ary tumor surgery     12       PS-0     24       PS-1     26       PS-2     5       d symptoms     35       yndrome     14       Locally advanced     1       M1a (liver mets)     25       M1b (extra-hepatic mets)     18       M1c (liver and bone mets)     11       metastases     29/54       metastases     47/54       ty > liver     25/30       ositive     42/48       A plasma (xULN) (n=48)     6.5       GULN) (n=31)     0.9       GULN) (n=51)     1.4

#### **Tumour response to treatments (89 L1 or L2 treatment lines)** ORR = objective response rate, DCR = disease control rate (OR + stability) SSAs (n=16) 100 Other NET-like (n=31) 82% 80-- everolimus (n=2) 68% 70% - sunitinib (n=10) patients - bevacizumab + chemotherapy (n=10) 60-- liver embolization (n=6) 50% - PRRT (n=3) 46% NEC-like (n=20) 40-% - VP16-carboplatin (n=15) 25% - FOLFOX, paclitaxel (n=5) 19% 20-ALK (n=22) - temozolomide-based (n=17) 0% - streptozotocin-based (n=5) DCR ORR

#### Factors associated with somatostatin analogs

Multivariable	OR	95% CI	Р
Age (each additional year)	1.06	1.01-1.12	0.020
Ki67 (each additional 1%)	0.94	0.86-1.02	0.138
Second line (vs. L1)	0.06	0.01-0.49	0.009

#### Factors associated with alkylating chemotherapy

Multivariable	OR	95% CI	Р
Pancreas primary NET (vs. other)	5.56	1.15-26.99	0.033
Functioning syndrome (vs. no)	2.85	0.61-13.42	0.184
Ki67 (each additional 1%)	0.96	0.90-1.03	0.295

#### Factors associated with objective response (89 L1 or L2 treatment lines)

Multivariable logistic regression	OR	95% CI	Ρ
Age (each additional year)	0.98	0.94-1.03	0.420
Male gender (vs. female)	0.27	0.09-0.86	0.026
Ki67 (each additional 1%)	1.06	0.98-1.14	0.145
Pancreas primary NET (vs. other)	1.96	0.41-9.24	0.397
Second line (vs. L1)	1.20	0.38-3.82	0.754
Alkylating agent (vs. other treatment)	4.86	1.42-16.65	0.012

## Factors associated with overall survival (OS)

Median OS from the first treatment was 29.7 months (95% CI, 16.2-43.3) 1-, 2- and 5-year OS rates were 81%, 63% and 13%, respectively

Multivariable Cox model	HR	95% CI	Ρ
<b>e</b> (each additional year)	1.03	1-1.06	0.07

#### Male gender (vs. female) 0.79 0.31 - 2.03 0.62 **Ki67** (each additional 1%) 1.01 - 1.14 0.02 1.07 **Pancreas primary NET** (vs. other) 0.22 - 1.66 0.33 0.60 **Primary tumor resected** (vs. no) 0.16 0.34 - 0.76 0.02 **Performance status 1-2** (vs. 0) 0.07 2.88 0.91 - 9.07

#### Factors associated with NEC-like chemotherapy

Multivariable	OR	95% CI	Р
Age (each additional year)	0.96	0.92-1.01	0.104
Second line (vs. L1)	0.34	0.09-1.26	0.106
Pancreas primary NET (vs. other)	0.37	0.08-1.71	0.202
Ki67 (each additional 1%)	1.18	1.08-1.28	<0.001

# Conclusion

In this cohort of centrally-reviewed advanced digestive G3 NETs, alkylating agents achieved the highest response rate, regardless of Ki67