

POWERED BY COR2ED

EARLY-ONSET COLORECTAL CANCER

Prof. Hans Prenen

Medical Oncologist, University Hospital Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium Dr Renata D'Alpino Peixoto

Medical Oncologist, Centro Paulista de Oncologia, São Paulo, Brazil

DISCLAIMER AND DISCLOSURES



Please note: The views expressed within this presentation are the personal opinions of the author. They do not necessarily represent the views of the author's academic institution or the rest of the GI CONNECT group.

This content is supported by an Independent Educational Grant from Bayer.

- Prof. Hans Prenen has no relevant financial relationships to disclose
- Dr Renata D'Alpino Peixoto has no relevant financial relationships to disclose

EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVE AND CLINICAL TAKEAWAY



EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVE

Help healthcare professionals understand special considerations for the management of colorectal cancer (CRC) in younger patients

CLINICAL TAKEAWAY

- The incidence of early-onset colorectal cancer (EOCRC) is rising globally for reasons including an increasingly westernised diet, obesity, and alterations in the gut microbiota
- EOCRCs are more commonly left-sided and present with rectal bleeding and abdominal pain
- Aggressive treatment regimens based solely on patient age at CRC diagnosis are not warranted

DEFINITION AND EPIDEMIOLOGY

DEFINITION OF EOCRC AND EPIDEMIOLOGY



- EOCRC is generally accepted to be any CRC diagnosis at age <50 years, as this is the age at which
 most national screening programmes commence
- The median age of CRC diagnosis dropped from 72 years in the early 2000s to 66 years today
- Incidence of EOCRC has risen sharply since 1988 from 7.9 to 12.9 cases in 2015 per 100,000 people in the United States
 - In contrast to LOCRC (better screening?)
- Approximately 12% of all new diagnoses will be in individuals age <50 years, the equivalent of 49 new cases per day
 - By 2030, 1 in 10 colon cancers and 1 in 4 rectal cancers will be diagnosed in individuals age <50 years
- Key question is whether EOCRC and LOCRC are the same disease, and if EOCRC is caused by a unique underlying mechanism that is impacted by different risk factors
- Most EOCRC studies are retrospective and include small number of patients

CRC, colorectal cancer; EOCRC, early- onset colorectal cancer; LOCRC, late-onset colorectal cancer

Akimoto N, et al. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2021;18:230-43; American Cancer Society. Colorectal Cancer Facts & Figures 2020-2022. Available from: www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/research/cancer-facts-and-figures-2020-2022.pdf. Accessed November 2022; Bailey CE, et al. JAMA Surg. 2015;150:17-22; REACCT Collaborative. JAMA Surg. 2021;156:865-74; Siegel RL, et al. Gut 2019;68:2179-85; Siegel RL, et al. CA Cancer J Clin. 2020;70:145-64; Sinicope FA, et al. N Engl J Med. 2022;386:1547-58; Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program. Available from: https://seer.cancer.gov/data/. Accessed November 2022; Vuik FE, et al. Gut. 2019;68:1540-6

INCIDENCES OF EOCRC ARE INCREASING WORLDWIDE



Incidence rate ratio by birth cohort in the United States¹





Incidence rate ratio

EOCRC PATIENTS HAVE A HIGHER 5-YEAR SURVIVAL THAN PATIENTS WITH LOCRC FOR ALL STAGES OF DIAGNOSIS...

CRC: 5-year survival by age and race/ethnicity, 2009-2015



GI CONNECT[®] POWERED BY COR2ED

Cause-specific survival rates are the probability of not dying from colorectal cancer within 5 years of diagnosis.

Rates are based on cases diagnosed from 2009 to 2017, all followed through 2016. Rates for American Indians/Alaska Natives are based on small case numbers, particularly for distant-stage disease.

CRC, colorectal cancer; EOCRC, early-onset colorectal cancer; LOCRC, late-onset colorectal cancer

American Cancer Society. Colorectal Cancer Facts & Figures 2020-2022. Available from: www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/research/cancer-facts-and-statistics/colorectal-cancer-facts-and-figures/colorectal-cancer-facts-and-figures/2020-2022.pdf. Accessed November 2022; Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program. Available from: https://seer.cancer.gov/data/. Accessed November 2022

Adapted from: American Cancer Society. Colorectal Cancer Facts & Figures 2020-2022.

RISK FACTORS

POTENTIAL CAUSES/RISK FACTORS



• Most cases are sporadic

- Hereditary syndromes are more frequent in EOCRC vs LOCRC (16-25% vs 10-15%)
 - Lynch syndrome being the most frequent
- Pathogenic germline variants are present in 1 in 6 patients with EOCRC
- Incidences of familial syndromes are stable and are not likely contributing to the overall rise in EOCRC cases
- Factors affecting the gut microbiome:
 - Changing dietary habits/westernised diet
 - More red and processed meat
 - More refined grains
 - More processed sugar
 - Obesity (especially abdominal fat)
 - Smoking
 - Sedentary behaviour
 - Prolonged use of antibiotics

CRC, colorectal cancer; EOCRC, early-onset colorectal cancer; LOCRC, late-onset colorectal cancer

Hur J, et al. Gut. 2021;70:2330-6; Møller P, et al. Gut. 2018;67:1306-316; Nguyen LH, et al. JNCI Cancer Spectr. 2018;2:pky073; REACCT Collaborative. JAMA Surg. 2021;156:865-74; Schumacher AJ, et al. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2021;30:1792-8; Sinicope FA, et al. N Engl J Med. 2022;386:1547-58; Tabung FK, et al. JAMA Oncol. 2018;4:366-73; Wan QY, et al. Gut. 2020;69:2059-2060; Yurgelun MB, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35:1086-95; Zheng X, et al. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2021; 113:543-52

MOST CRC CASES (~80%) ARE SPORADIC, REGARDLESS OF AGE



Prevalence of pathogenic variants by age at CRC diagnosis



Lunch	Delunesia	Other pathogenic variants		
syndrome	syndromes	High penetrance	Moderate/low penetrance	
MLH1	APC	BRCA1	CHEK2	
MSH2	MUTYH	BRCA2	ATM	
MSH6	SMAD4	TP53	NBN	
	BMPR1A	PALB2	BARD1	
PMS2	PTEN	CDKNDA	BRIP1	
	POLE	CDKNZA		

FACTORS IMPACTING THE GUT MICROBIOTA AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF EOCRC?





GUT MICROBIOME



- Gut microbes interact with the host immune system and influence the antitumour immune response
- Patients with CRC have reduced bacterial diversity compared to healthy persons
- Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, enterotoxigenic *Bacteroides fragilis*, oral anaerobe *Fusobacterium nucleatum* are enriched in CRC
- There are age-related differences in gut microbial composition
 - Flavonifractor plautii is an important bacterial species in EOCRC
 - Genus Streptococcus contains the key phylotype in the LOCRC

RISK ESTIMATES FOR EOCRC VS LOCRC



Risk Factor			ORR (95% CI)
BMI	Early-onset CRC Late-onset CRC	⊢•-1 =	1.00 (0.95, 1.05) 1.12 (1.09, 1.14)
Height	Early-onset CRC Late-onset CRC	k <u></u> ∎1 =−1	1.06 (0.98, 1.14) 1.04 (1.01, 1.07)
Pack-years of smoking	Early-onset CRC Late-onset CRC	H-B-1 H-1	0.96 (0.92, 1.01) 1.05 (1.03, 1.07)
Sedentary lifestyle	Early-onset CRC Late-onset CRC		1.13 (0.88, 1.44) 1.11 (1.02, 1.22)
Alcohol use (0 g/day)	Early-onset CRC Late-onset CRC		1.23 (1.08, 1.39) 1.20 (1.14, 1.26)
Alcohol use (>28 g/day)	Early-onset CRC Late-onset CRC	⊨I	1.25 (1.04, 1.50) 1.23 (1.15, 1.32)
Lower educational attainment	Early-onset CRC Late-onset CRC		1.10 (1.04, 1.16) 1.06 (1.04, 1.08)
Diabetes diagnosis	Early-onset CRC Late-onset CRC	H	1.25 (0.93, 1.68) 1.20 (1.12, 1.28)
Lower total folate intake	Early-onset CRC Late-onset CRC	k <u></u> =t ==1	1.08 (0.98, 1.18) 1.04 (1.01, 1.07)
Lower fruit intake	Early-onset CRC Late-onset CRC	H-B Hel	1.01 (0.96, 1.07) 1.06 (1.04, 1.08)
Lower vegetable intake	Early-onset CRC Late-onset CRC	 a=1	1.00 (0.94, 1.06) 1.01 (0.99, 1.04)
Greater red meat intake	Early-onset CRC Late-onset CRC		1.10 (1.04, 1.16) 1.07 (1.05, 1.10)
Greater processed meat intake	Early-onset CRC Late-onset CRC	H-H	1.03 (0.95, 1.12) 1.06 (1.03, 1.09)
Lower total fiber intake	Early-onset CRC Late-onset CRC	H=1	1.11 (1.00, 1.23) 1.10 (1.06, 1.14)
Lower total calcium intake	Early-onset CRC Late-onset CRC	H = 1 H=1	1.09 (0.99, 1.19) 1.13 (1.10, 1.16)
No aspirin use	Early-onset CRC Late-onset CRC		1.10 (0.90, 1.34) 1.41 (1.34, 1.48)
No NSAID use	Early-onset CRC Late-onset CRC		1.43 (1.21, 1.68) 1.40 (1.30, 1.51) 8

DISEASE CHARACTERISTICS AND DIAGNOSIS

EOCRC: DISEASE CHARACTERISTICS



- More than 70% of EOCRCs are in the left colon at presentation
- Higher rates of **poorly differentiated tumours** and more **frequent signet ring cells**
- Approximately 1 in 5 individuals diagnosed with CRC at age <50 years carries a germline mutation associated with cancer
- Higher frequencies of microsatellite instability high (MSI-H; Lynch syndrome)
- Higher risk of metachronous disease
- EOCRC more likely to be diagnosed at advanced stages (stage III-IV) compared to LOCRC
 - Significantly longer time to diagnosis and longer duration of symptoms compared to older patients

CRC, colorectal cancer; EOCRC, early-onset colorectal cancer; LOCRC, late-onset colorectal cancer

Akimoto N, et al. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2021;18:230-43; Cercek A, et al. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2021;113:1683-92; Chang DT, et al. Mod Pathol. 2012;25:1128-39; Dozois EJ, et al. Medicine (Baltimore). 2008;87:259-63; Meyer JE, et al. Cancer. 2010;116:4354-9; Mork ME, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2015; 33:3544-9; Pearlman R, et al. JAMA Oncol. 2017;3:464-71; Saraste D, et al. Br J Surg. 2020;107:301-9; Stoffel EM, et al. Gastroenterology. 2018;154:897-905.e1

CLINICAL PRESENTATION OF EOCRC VS LOCRC





CRC, colorectal cancer; EO, early onset; EOCRC, early-onset colorectal cancer; GI, gastrointestinal; LO, late onset Adapted from: Cercek A, et al. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2021;113:1683-92

CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS ARE SIMILAR REGARDLESS OF AGE AT ONSET





No significant clinical or histological differences EO less likely to be diagnosed early



CRC, colorectal cancer; EO, early onset; EOCRC, early-onset colorectal cancer; LO, late onset Adapted from: Cercek A, et al. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2021;113:1683-92

PATHOLOGICAL AND MOLECULAR FEATURES OF EOCRC



Pathological features and molecular profile of EOCRC

Pathological features	Molecular profile
Poor differentiation	Microsatellite stability
Mucinous tumours	More likely to exhibit LINE-1 hypomethylation and TP53 sequence variations
Signet-ring morphology	Less frequently harbour KRAS, BRAF V600E, and APC sequence variations
Perineural/venous invasion	Promoter methylation of CpG islands

TREATMENT AND QOL CONSIDERATIONS

TREATMENT CHARACTERISTICS OF EOCRC



- Younger patients with EOCRC are:
 - More likely to receive more adjuvant treatments
 - More likely to receive more intense regimens
 - More likely to complete the planned treatment (and with a high dose intensity)
- No apparent OS difference between EOCRC and LOCRC in either initial or advanced settings
 - After adjusting for staging differences
- Attention should be given to long-term cancer survivorship in patients with EOCRC as they face distinct survivorship challenges from older CRC patients

IDEA DATABASE ANALYSES EOCRC VS LOCRC: CANCER SPECIFIC SURVIVAL



Cancer-specific survival: stage III patients



		EOCRC	LOCRC	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	p value
3-year RFS rate,%	High-risk stage II	87.6 (84.1-91.3)	88.0 (86.8-89.2)	0.98 (0.72-1.34)	0.91
	Low-risk stage III (T1-3 N1)	81.6 (78.0-85.3)	84.0 (83.0-84.9)	0.99 (0.80-1.22)	0.90
	High-risk			10% difference in RFS	
	stage III (T4 and/or N2)	54.5 (49.7-59.9)	64.5 (63.1-65.9)	0.74 (0.64-0.87)	0.0003
5-year CSM rate, %	High-risk stage II	4.8 (2.9-7.8)	7.6 (6.6-8.7)	1.38 (0.84-2.27)	0.21
	Low-risk stage III (T1-3 N1)	7.1 (5.1-9.8)	6.9 (6.3-7.5)	0.96 (0.70-1.30)	0.78
	High-risk		20.7 (19.5-21.9)	3% difference in CSM	
	stage III (T4 and/or N2)	23.9 (20.0-28.6)		0.81 (0.67-0.99)	0.040

CI, confidence interval; CRC, colorectal cancer; CSM, cancer-specific mortality; EOCRC, early-onset colorectal cancer; HR, hazard ratio; LOCRC, late-onset colorectal cancer; RFS, recurrence-free survival Adapted from: Fontana E, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2021;39:4009-19 (ASCO 2021, oral presentation)

TREAT BY STAGE NOT BY AGE





Relative survival by age at diagnosis¹

Aggressive treatment regimens based solely on the age at diagnosis are not warranted²

1. Siegel RL, et al. CA Cancer J Clin. 2020;70:145-64; 2. Cercek A, et al. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2021;113:1683-92 Adapted from: Siegel RL, et al. CA Cancer J Clin. 2020;70:145-64

OUTCOMES ARE SIMILAR REGARDLESS OF AGE OF ONSET





EOCRC VS LOCRC: NO DIFFERENCE IN SURVIVAL DESPITE FAVOURABLE BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS AND HIGHER TREATMENT INTENSITY



HRs for OS and PFS by age (N=2,326)

Outcome and analysis	Age <50 years	Age ≥50 years	p value
OS Events/patients, n/n Median OS, months (95% CI) Unadjusted HR (95% CI) Multivariable adjusted HR (95% CI) ^c	416/514 27.07 (25.04-30.06) 0.92 (0.82-1.02) 0.98 (0.88-1.10)	1,557/1,812 26.12 (24.94-27.30) Ref. Ref.	- 0.12ª 0.12 ^b 0.78 ^b
PFS Events/patients, n/n Median PFS, months (95% CI) Unadjusted HR (95% CI) Multivariable adjusted HR (95% CI) ^c	473/514 10.87 (9.99-11.50) 0.98 (0.88-1.08) 1.02 (0.92-1.13)	1,700/1,812 10.55 (10.12 to 10.94) Ref. Ref.	– 0.67ª 0.67 ^b 0.67 ^b
ORR, n (%)	297 (57.8)	1,009 (55.7)	0.40 ^d

^a p values and associated median OS and PFS were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method. All tests were 2-sided

^b p values for hazard ratios were calculated in corresponding Cox model. All tests were 2-sided

^c Adjusted with Cox proportional hazards analysis for patient sex (male vs female), race (white vs black vs other), Easter Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (0 vs 1 to 2), primary tumour location (right and transverse colon vs left colon vs unknown), primary tumour unresected (no vs yes), prior radiation (no vs yes), prior adjuvant chemotherapy (no vs yes), *KRAS* mutation status (wild-type vs mutant vs unknown), diabetes (no vs yes, as reported in a diet and lifestyle questionnaire), BMI at study entry (<21 vs 21 to <25 vs 25 to <30 vs 30 to <35 vs ≥35 kg/m², 3 patients with missing BMI were recoded into the majority category in 25 to <30 kg/m², protocol chemotherapy received (FOLFIRI vs mFOLFOX6), and arm of trial (bevacizumab vs dual-antibody therapy)</p>

^d p value for the ORR is based on 2-sided χ^2 test

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; EOCRC, early-onset colorectal cancer; FOLFIRI, leucovorin, fluorouracil, and irinotecan; HR, hazard ratio; LOCRC, late-onset colorectal cancer; mFOLFOX6, leucovorin, fluorouracil and oxaliplatin; ORR, objective response rate; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; Ref., referent

SCREENING AND PREVENTION



Implications and benefits of prevention efforts for early-onset cancers



CRC screening

- The increasing incidence of CRC in younger populations recently led to the United States lowering the age of CRC screening from age 50 years to age 45 years
- It was projected that this could prevent 29,400 CRC cases and 11,100 deaths over the next five years but at a cost of \$10.4 billion
- Cost-effective screening solutions are required

THE PSYCHOSOCIAL IMPACT OF EOCRC



Challenges for people with EOCRC

Emotional Distress

- Worsening anxiety
- Embarrassment with bowel movements
- Low mood
- Financial burden
- Premature confrontation with mortality

Physical Burden

- CRC has a unique and damaging stigma
 - Bowel movements
 - Digestive/GI symptoms
- Ostomy bags
- Sleeping disorders
- Impact on body image and intimacy
- Reproductive health and family planning

Social impact

- Time away from family due to treatment
- Physical symptoms affect interpersonal relationships
- Difficulties coping with children
- The need for family members to provide care to patient
- Inability to perform social roles

Work impact

- Impact on ability to perform role
- Most are juggling various roles
 - Marriage/partnerships
 - Caring responsibilities
 - Career
 - Education

SUMMARY

SUMMARY



- The incidence of EOCRC is rising globally, but the reason for this is unclear
- Potential risk factors include a westernised diet, obesity, antibiotics and alterations in the gut microbiome
- Patient with EOCRC tend to present with advanced disease stage and unfavourable histopathological features
- Lower awareness of CRC, lack of screening, an underappreciation of symptoms, and reluctance to seek medical care may contribute to delayed diagnosis and advanced stage at diagnosis
- EOCRCs are more commonly left-sided and present with rectal bleeding and abdominal pain, but are otherwise clinically and genomically indistinguishable from LOCRCs
- Although genetic predisposition plays a role in EOCRC, most cases are sporadic
- Survival data are limited and conflicting; despite accessing more neoadjuvant and adjuvant therapy, patients with EOCRC appear to have oncological outcomes equivalent to those of older counterparts
- Aggressive treatment regimens based solely on the age at CRC diagnosis are **not warranted**
- More clinical trials in this population are required

REACH GI CONNECT VIA TWITTER, LINKEDIN, VIMEO & EMAIL OR VISIT THE GROUP'S WEBSITE https://giconnect.cor2ed.com/



Follow the GI CONNECT group on LinkedIn



Watch us on the Vimeo Channel GI CONNECT



Email Iouise.handbury @cor2ed.com



GI CONNECT Bodenackerstrasse 17 4103 Bottmingen SWITZERLAND

Dr. Froukje Sosef MD



+31 6 2324 3636

froukje.sosef@cor2ed.com

Dr. Antoine Lacombe Pharm D, MBA



- +41 79 529 42 79
- antoine.lacombe@cor2ed.com



Connect on LinkedIn @GI CONNECT



Watch on Vimeo @GI CONNECT



Visit us at https://giconnect.cor2ed.com/



Follow us on Twitter @giconnectinfo



Heading to the heart of Independent Medical Education Since 2012