COR2ED THE HEART OF MEDICAL EDUCATION

NURSING SUPPORT FOR METASTATIC CASTRATE RESISTANT PROSTATE CANCER (mCRPC) PATIENTS DURING RADIOPHARMACEUTICAL TREATMENTS

Janet Forgenie

Uro-oncology Clinical Nurse Specialist University College London Hospital

JULY 2023

DEVELOPED BY GU NURSES CONNECT

This programme is developed by GU NURSES CONNECT, an international group of experts in the field of genitourinary oncology nursing.



Acknowledgement and disclosures

This GU NURSES CONNECT programme is supported through an independent educational grant from Bayer. The programme is therefore independent, the content is not influenced by the supporter and is under the sole responsibility of the experts.

Please note: The views expressed within this programme are the personal opinions of the experts. They do not necessarily represent the views of the experts' institutions, or the rest of the GU NURSES CONNECT group.

Expert Disclaimers:

• Janet Forgenie has received financial support/sponsorship for research support, consultation, or speaker fees from the following companies: Astellas and Bayer

CLINICAL TAKEAWAYS

- Radiopharmaceuticals such as radium-223 and ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 offer a survival benefit to mCRPC patients as well as managing bone pain and QoL
- They are able to deliver targeted radiation to prostate cancer cells thereby minimising toxicity to normal healthy tissue
- Real-world data support using ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 in patients who previously received Ra-223
- Nurses and patients should be aware of post-treatment precautions, but radiopharmaceuticals are an effective and manageable treatment option for mCRPC patients

EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES

- Recognise the considerations for treatment selection for mCRPC in clinical practice, minimising the impact of treatment on patient lives
- Understand the clinical application of recent data to treatment sequencing in bone dominant mCRPC
- Be able to educate and support patients during treatment to ensure patients understand what to expect

THE PROSTATE CANCER LANDSCAPE IS COMPLICATED!



FDA-APPROVED THERAPEUTIC RADIOPHARMACEUTICALS FOR mCRPC PATIENTS

Radiopharmaceuticals	Radioactive particles	Description	Survival Benefit
Strontium-89	β-emitter	Palliative agent for chemotherapy- refractory mCRPC patients with bone metastasis	No survival benefit
Samarium-153	β-emitter	Provides significant pain relief to patients with bone metastasis	No survival benefit
Radium-223	α -emitter	Improves overall survival in symptomatic bone-predominant mCRPC without visceral metastasis	2.8-month improvement in OS compared to placebo (HR 0.70, 95% CI: 0.58- 0.83, p<0.001)
¹⁷⁷ Lu-PSMA-617	β-emitter	Improves overall survival in PSMA-positive mCRPC patients previously treated with ARPI and taxane-based chemotherapy	4-month improvement in OS compared to SoC (HR 0.62, 95% CI: 0.52- 0.74, p<0.001)

¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617, lutetium-177-prostate-specific membrane antigen-617; ARPI, androgen receptor pathway inhibitor; CI, confidence interval; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; HR, hazard ratio; mCRPC, metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer; OS, overall survival; SoC, standard of care Ramnaraign B, et al. Oncologist. 2023;28:392-401; Parker C, et al. N Engl J Med 2013; 369: 213-223; Sartor O, et al. N Eng J Med. 2021;385:1091-103

RADIUM-223

RADIUM-223: MECHANISM OF ACTION

- Radiopharmaceutical targets bone metastasis
- Radium-223 mimics calcium
- Taken up by the bone mets, emit alpha radiation causing double stranded DNA breaks



mets, metastases

Radium-223 Prescribing Information Dec 2019

Figure adapted from: Faria T, et al. Br J Cancer Res 2018; 1(2): 156-161

RADIUM-223 ADMINISTRATION AND DOSE

• Ra-223 should be administered only by persons authorised to handle radiopharmaceuticals in designated clinical settings and after evaluation of the patient by a qualified physician



• The dose is 55 kBq (1.49 microcurie) per kg body weight

The volume to be administered to a given patient is calculated as follows:

Volume to be	_	Body weight in kg × 55 kBq/kg body weight	OR	Body weight in kg × 1.49 mCi/kg body weight
administered (mL)		Decay factor × 1100 kBq/mL	••••	Decay factor × 30 mCi/mL

• Patient treated as an outpatient

kBq, kilobecquerel; mCi, microcurie; Ra-233, radium-233 Radium-223 US Prescribing Information (Dec 2019); Radium-223 SmPC

ALSYMPCA STUDY

Phase 3 study in mCRPC patients with symptomatic bone metastases and no known visceral mets



ALP, alkaline phosphatase; kBq, kilobecquerel; (m)CRPC, (metastatic) castrate resistant prostate cancer; mets, metastases; R, randomisation Parker C, et al. N Engl J Med. 2013;369:213-23 (inc. protocol)

ALSYMPCA: Ra-223 PROLONGS OVERALL SURVIVAL

 Radium-223 significantly improved overall survival compared to placebo in mCRPC patients with symptomatic bone metastases



CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; mCRPC, metastatic castrate resistant prostate cancer; OS, overall survival; Ra-223, radium-223 Parker C, et al. N Engl J Med. 2013;369:213-23

ALSYMPCA: Ra-223 DELAYS TIME TO FIRST SSE

TIME TO FIRST SYMPTOMATIC SKELETAL EVENT



CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; Ra-223, radium-223; SSE, symptomatic skeletal event Parker C, et al. N Engl J Med. 2013;369:213-23

ALSYMPCA: Ra-223 RESULTS IN A MEANINGFUL IMPROVEMENT IN QoL



Ra-223 + BSoC Placebo + BSoC

BSoC, best standard of care; EQ-5D, EuroQoL 5D; FACT-P, Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy Prostate; QoL, quality of life; Ra-223, radium-223 Adapted from Nilsson S, et al. Ann Oncol. 2016;27:868-74

ALSYMPCA: SAFETY DATA

	Radium-223 dichloride (n=600)				Placebo (n=301)			
Event, n (%) ¹	All grades	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5 ^a	All grades	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5 ^a
Haematological AEs								
Anaemia	187 (31)	65 (11)	11 (2)	0	92 (31)	37 (12)	2 (1)	1 (<1)
Thrombocytopenia	69 (12)	20 (3)	18 (3)	1 (<1)	17 (6)	5 (2)	1 (<1)	0
Neutropenia	30 (5)	9 (2)	4 (1)	0	3 (1)	2 (1)	0	0
Non-haematological AEs								
Constipation	108 (18)	6 (1)	0	0	64 (21)	4 (1)	0	0
Diarrhoea	151 (25)	9 (2)	0	0	45 (15)	5 (2)	0	0
Nausea	213 (36)	10 (2)	0	0	104 (35)	5 (2)	0	0
Vomiting	111 (18)	10 (2)	0	0	41 (14)	7 (2)	0	0
Asthenia	35 (6)	5 (1)	0	0	18 (6)	4 (1)	0	0
Fatigue	154 (26)	21 (4)	3 (1)	0	77 (26)	16 (5)	2 (1)	0
General physical health deterioration	27 (4)	9 (2)	2 (<1)	5 (1)	21 (7)	8 (3)	2 (1)	2 (1)
Peripheral oedema	76 (13)	10 (2)	0	0	30 (10)	3 (1)	1 (<1)	0
Pyrexia	38 (6)	3 (1)	0	0	19 (6)	3 (1)	0	0
Pneumonia	18 (3)	9 (2)	0	4 (1)	16 (5)	5 (2)	2 (1)	0

^a Only one grade 5 haematological AE was considered possibly related to study drug: thrombocytopenia in one patient in the radium-223 group

 Final 3-year safety analysis of ALSYMPCA showed that radium-223 remained well tolerated, with low myelosuppression incidence and no new safety concern²

AE, adverse event

1. Parker C, et al. N Engl J Med. 2013;369:213-23; 2. Parker C, et al. Eur Urol. 2018;73:427-35

RADIUM-223: SIDE EFFECT MANAGEMENT

Diarrhoea and sickness

- Monitor oral intake and fluid status to prevent dehydration
- Low blood cell count risk of infection/anaemia/bruising
 - Haematological evaluation at baseline and prior to every dose of radium-223
- Increased bone pain in the area of bone disease for a few days after treatment
 - Increase pain medication during this period
 - Levels of pain decrease with progressive cycles of radium-223

Peripheral oedema

- Gentle exercise, raise swollen areas on chair
- Treat with diuretics if required

WHEN TO USE Ra-223



Radium-223 FDA-approved indication¹

Indicated for the treatment of patients with castrate-resistant prostate cancer, **symptomatic bone metastases** and **no known visceral metastatic disease**



Radium-223 EMA-approved indication²

Indicated as monotherapy or in combination with an LHRH analogue for the treatment of adult patients with mCRPC, **symptomatic bone metastases** and **no known visceral metastases**, who are in **progression after at least two prior lines of systemic therapy** for mCRPC (other than LHRH analogues), or ineligible for any available systemic mCRPC treatment

FDA, Food and Drug Administration; LHRH, luteinising hormone-releasing hormone; mCRPC, metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer; Ra-223, radium-223 1. Radium-223 US prescribing information (Dec 2019); 2. Radium-223 SmPC (Jun 2018)

¹⁷⁷LU-PSMA-617

¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617, lutetium-177-prostate-specific membrane antigen-617

¹⁷⁷LU-PSMA-617: MECHANISM OF ACTION

MECHANISM OF ACTION

- ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA is a radionuclide therapy that is directed to PSMA expressing prostate cancer
- ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 pairs PSMA targeting ligand (PSMA-617) to radioactive atom (¹⁷⁷lutetium)
- "Ligand" is a small molecule designed to bind to PSMA, a protein highly expressed on the cell surface of most prostate cancer cells
- Once bound, the ¹⁷⁷lutetium atom releases an energetic beta particle that kills cancer cell

ADMINISTRATION

- Administered as 6 fractions of treatment (once every 6 weeks ± 1 week)
 - 7.4 GBq (± 10%) of ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 will be administered
 - Treatment will be administered on an outpatient basis in the Department of Nuclear Medicine



Ferdinandusa J, et al. Curr Opin Urol. 2018;28:197-204; Lutetium Lu 177 vipivotide tetraxetan US Prescribing Information (Oct 2022)



VISION STUDY: BACKGROUND AND DESIGN

- Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) is highly expressed on the surface of prostate cancer cells, including metastatic lesions, and is only expressed on a few normal tissues such as the salivary and lacrimal glands
- Studies have confirmed that PSMA-bound imaging is highly specific for PET-based imaging of prostate cancer
- The VISION trial randomised patients with mCRPC who had ≥1 PSMA-PET positive metastatic lesion and no PSMA-۲ negative metastatic lesions to receive either ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 plus ongoing standard of care or standard of care



- **Biomarkers including PSA**
- Health-related quality of life and pain
 - Brief Pain Inventory Short Form

⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11; gallium-68-prostate-specific membrane antigen-11; ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617, lutetium-177-prostate-specific membrane antigen-617; CT, computed tomography; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; EQ-5D-5L, European Quality of Life (EuroQol)-5 domain 5 level scale; FACT-P, Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-Prostate; mCi, microcurie; mCRPC, metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer; PET, positron-emission tomography; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; PSMA prostate-specific membrane antigen; R, randomisation; RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumours; SoC, standard of care

Morris MJ, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2021;39 suppl 15:LBA4 (ASCO 2021 oral presentation); Ferdinandusa J, et al. Curr Opin Urol. 2018;28:197-204 ; Sartor O, et al. N Eng J Med. 2021:385:1091-103 (Supplementary Appendix)

VISION STUDY: ¹⁷⁷LU-PSMA-617 PROLONGS OS IN PTS WHO HAVE RECEIVED ≥1 ARI, AND 1 OR 2 PRIOR TAXANE-BASED CT REGIMENS

ALTERNATE PRIMARY ENDPOINTS

OS all randomised patients (N=831)

Imaging-based progression-free survival (n=581)



¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617, lutetium-177-prostate-specific membrane antigen-617; ARI, androgen receptor inhibitor; CI, confidence interval; CT, chemotherapy; ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617, lutetium-177-prostate specific membrane antigen-617; HR, hazard ratio; OS, overall survival; pt, patient; SoC, standard of care Sartor O, et al. N Eng J Med. 2021;385:1091-103

VISION STUDY: ¹⁷⁷LU-PSMA-617 SIGNIFICANTLY IMPROVES ALL SECONDARY ENDPOINTS

INCLUDING TIME TO FIRST SYMPTOMATIC SKELETAL EVENT, ORR AND DCR



Time to first symptomatic skeletal event

¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617, lutetium-177-prostate-specific membrane antigen-617; CI, confidence interval; DCR, disease control rate; HR, hazard ratio; mo, months; OR, odds ratio; ORR, overall response rate; SoC, standard of care

Sartor O, et al. N Engl J Med. 2021;385:1091-103 (Supplementary Appendix)

VISION STUDY: ¹⁷⁷LU-PSMA-617 BENEFICIAL EFFECT ON PAIN AND QoL

FACT-P TOTAL SCORE

Time to worsening favoured the ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 arm rPFS analysis set (N=581)

BPI-SF PAIN INTENSITY

Time to worsening favoured the ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 arm





¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617, lutetium-177-prostate-specific membrane antigen-617; BPI-SF, Brief Pain Inventory–Short Form; CI, confidence interval; FACT-P, Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy– Prostate; HR, hazard ratio; QoL, quality of life; rPFS, radiographic progression-free survival; SoC, standard of care Fizazi K, et al. Ann Oncol. 2021;32 suppl 5:S627-8 (ESMO 2021 oral presentation)

VISION STUDY: SIDE EFFECTS

TREATMENT-EMERGENT ADVERSE EVENTS

		A-617 + SoC 529)	SoC alone (N=205)		
Patients, n (%)	All grades	Grade 3 to 5	All grades	Grade 3 to 5	
Any drug-related TEAE	451 (85.3)	150 (28.4)	59 (28.8)	8 (3.9)	
Serious	49 (9.3)	43 (8.1)	5 (2.4)	5 (2.4)	
Grade 5ª	5 (0.9)	5 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
TEAEs grouped by topics of interest					
Fatigue	260 (49.1)	37 (7.0)	60 (29.3)	5 (2.4)	
Bone marrow suppression	251 (47.4)	124 (23.4)	36 (17.6)	14 (6.8)	
Leukopenia	66 (12.5)	13 (2.5)	4 (2.0)	1 (0.5)	
Lymphopenia	75 (14.2)	41 (7.8)	8 (3.9)	1 (0.5)	
Anaemia	168 (31.8)	68 (12.9)	27 (13.2)	10 (4.9)	
Thrombocytopenia	91 (17.2)	42 (7.9)	9 (4.4)	2 (1.0)	
Dry mouth	208 (39.3)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.0)	0 (0.0)	
Nausea and vomiting	208 (39.3)	8 (1.5)	35 (17.1)	1 (0.5)	
Renal effects	46 (8.7)	18 (3.4)	12 (5.9)	6 (2.9)	
Second primary malignancies	11 (2.1)	4 (0.8)	2 (1.0)	1 (0.5)	
Intracranial haemorrhage	7 (1.3)	5 (0.9)	3 (1.5)	2 (1.0)	

^a There were five drug-related treatment-emergent adverse events leading to death in the ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 arm: pancytopenia, n=2; bone-marrow failure, n=1; subdural haematoma, n=1; intracranial haemorrhage, n=1

¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617, lutetium-177-prostate-specific membrane antigen-617; SoC, standard of care; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event Fizazi K, et al. Ann Oncol. 2021;32 suppl 5:S627-8 (ESMO 2021 oral presentation)

WHEN TO USE ¹⁷⁷LU-PSMA-617



¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 FDA and EMA approved indications^{1,2}

¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 is approved by the FDA and EMA for the treatment of adult patients with progressive PSMA-positive mCRPC who have been previously treated with ARPI and taxane-based chemotherapy ^{1,2}

- The pivotal VISION trial supports the use of ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 in patients previously treated with an ARPI and docetaxel in a setting currently occupied with cabazitaxel³
- The phase 2, TheraP trial performed a direct comparison between ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 and cabazitaxel⁴:
 - ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 led to a higher PSA response (66 vs 37%, p<0.0001) and fewer grade 3 to 4 AEs (33 vs 53%)
 - Based on these results, cabazitaxel ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 sequence could be reversed
- However, the 3-year follow up of the TheraP study showed no difference in OS between the treatments (19.1 vs 19.6 months, difference -0.5, 95% CI -3.7 to + 2.7)⁵

¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617, lutetium-177-prostate-specific membrane antigen-617; ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; AE, adverse event; ARPI, androgen receptor pathway inhibitor; CI, confidence interval; EMA, European Medicines Agency; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; mCRPC, metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer; OS overall survival; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; PSMA, prostate specific membrane antigen

1. Lu-PSMA US Prescribing Information; 2. Lu-PSMA SmPC; 3. Sartor O, et al. N Engl J Med. 2021;385:1091-103; 4. Hofman M, et al. Lancet. 2021;397:797-804; 5. Hofman M, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2022;40, no. 16_suppl:5000-5000 25

SEQUENCING RADIOPHARMACEUTICALS

VISION STUDY: rPFS BY PRIOR TREATMENTS (BICR)

• ~78% of patients had received ≥3 lines of prior therapy in the VISION trial

n/N (%)		¹⁷⁷ Lu-PSMA-617 + SoC (n=385)	SoC alone (n=196)	Favours ¹⁷⁷ Lu-PSMA-617 Favours SoC	HR (95% CI)
ARPIs	1 ≥2	138/209 (66.0) 116/176 (65.9)	42/97 (43.3) 51/99 (51.5)		0.51 (0.35, 0.73) 0.32 (0.23, 0.45)
Taxane regimens	1 ≥2	142/224 (63.4) 94/134 (70.1)	49/110 (44.5) 40/77 (51.9)		0.39 (0.27, 0.54) 0.44 (0.30, 0.66)
Non-taxane regimens	0 ≥1	230/347 (66.3) 24/38 (63.2)	88/183 (48.1) 5/13 (38.5)		0.41 (0.32, 0.54) 0.20 (0.07, 0.56)
Immunotherapies	0 ≥1	199/306 (65.0) 55/79 (69.6)	65/146 (44.5) 28/50 (56.0)		0.44 (0.33, 0.59) 0.33 (0.20, 0.53)
Bone health agents	Yes No	45/66 (68.2) 209/319 (65.5)	21/35 (60.0) 72/161 (44.7)		0.35 (0.20, 0.62) 0.42 (0.31, 0.56)
²²³ Ra	Yes No	43/63 (68.3) 211/322 (65.5)	19/36 (52.8) 74/160 (46.3)		0.49 (0.28, 0.87) 0.38 (0.28, 0.50)
PARP inhibitors	Yes No	18/24 (75.0) 236/361 (65.4)	5/11 (45.5) 88/185 (47.6)		0.31 (0.11, 0.89) 0.41 (0.31, 0.53)
All patients		254/385 (66.0)	93/196 (47.4)	⊢●⊣	0.40 (0.31, 0.52)

 rPFS benefits with ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 were consistent across all prior treatment groups, including prior treatment with the radiopharmaceutical Ra-223

ARPI, androgen receptor pathway inhibitor; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; PARP, poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase; PSMA, prostate-specific membrane antigen; Ra, radium; rPFS, radiographic progression-free survival; SoC, standard of care Sartor O, et al. N Eng J Med. 2021;385:1091-103 (supplementary data appendix); Vaishampayan N, et al. ASCO 2022; abstract #5001 (oral presentation)

VISION STUDY: OS BY PRIOR TREATMENTS (BICR)

• OS benefits with ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 were consistent across all prior treatment groups

n/N (%)		¹⁷⁷ Lu-PSMA-617 + SoC (n=551)	SoC alone (n=280)	Favours ¹⁷⁷ Lu-PSMA-617 F	Favours SoC	HR (95% CI)
ARPIs	1 ≥2	182/296 (61.5) 161/255 (63.1)	83/130 (63.8) 104/150 (69.3)			0.74 (0.57, 0.97) 0.52 (0.41, 0.67)
Taxane regimens	1 ≥2	206/342 (60.2) 113/170 (66.5)	108/165 (65.5) 70/99 (70.7)	⊢● −1 −● −1		0.59 (0.46, 0.75) 0.73 (0.53, 0.99)
Non-taxane regimens	0 ≥1	299/485 (61.6) 44/66 (66.7)	167/252 (66.3) 20/28 (71.4)		4	0.61 (0.50, 0.74) 0.71 (0.42, 1.23)
Immunotherapies	0 ≥1	255/414 (61.6) 88/137 (64.2)	134/200 (67.0) 53/80 (66.3)			0.58 (0.47, 0.73) 0.72 (0.51, 1.01)
Bone health agents	Yes No	66/99 (66.7) 277/452 (61.3)	43/57 (75.4) 144/223 (64.6)			0.54 (0.36, 0.80) 0.64 (0.52, 0.79)
²²³ Ra	Yes No	59/97 (60.8) 284/454 (62.6)	31/48 (64.6) 156/232 (67.2)			0.73 (0.47, 1.13) 0.60 (0.49, 0.73)
PARP inhibitors	Yes No	22/30 (73.3) 321/521 (61.6)	11/16 (68.8) 176/264 (66.7)	⊢ • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4	0.60 (0.28, 1.28) 0.62 (0.51, 0.75)
All patients		343/551 (62.3)	187/280 (66.8)	H		0.62 (0.52, 0.74)

ARPI, androgen receptor pathway inhibitor; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; OS, overall survival; PARP, poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase; PSMA, prostate-specific membrane antigen; Ra, radium; SoC, standard of care

Sartor O, et al. N Eng J Med. 2021;385:1091-103 (supplementary data appendix); Vaishampayan N, et al. ASCO 2022; abstract #5001 (oral presentation)

RALU STUDY: ¹⁷⁷LU-PSMA-617 IN PATIENTS PREVIOUSLY TREATED WITH RA-223

 The RALU study was a retrospective, multicentre medical chart review evaluating the safety and clinical outcomes of sequential radium-223/¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA therapy in mCRPC patients



RESULTS

- Low rates of overall and hematologic AEs indicated an acceptable safety profile when using ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 after Ra-223
- Median OS was 12.6 and 31.4 mo from the first dose of ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 and Ra-223, respectively, and 39% of patients had at least a 30% decline in PSA
- The use of novel mechanisms of action with life-prolonging benefits, such as Ra-223 and ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617, can be achieved in heavily pretreated mCRPC patients
- The data support using ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 in patients who previously received Ra-223

¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA, lutetium-177-prostate-specific membrane antigen; AE, adverse event; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; d, days; mCRPC, metastatic castrate resistant prostate cancer; mo, months; OS, overall survival; PSA, prostate specific antigen; Ra-223, radium-223; SAE, serious adverse event Rahbar K, et al. J Nucl Med. 2023; 64:574-8

NURSE MANAGEMENT OF PATIENTS

RISK ASSESSMENT: DETERMINE PATIENTS MEDICAL & PERSONAL CIRCUMSTANCES

- Do they have any urinary or faecal incontinence?
- Do they have a urinary catheter/urostomy or stoma bag?
- Does the patient have a separate toilet at home they can use for one week post treatment?
- Does the patient need any personal care?
- Does the patient care for anyone?
- Is the patient sexually active (should not father a child for 6 months post-treatment and use of a condom recommended)?
- Does the patient share their accommodation with any pregnant/breastfeeding women or children
- Is the patient solely or chiefly responsible for care of any children
 - They need to avoid prolonged close contact e.g. sitting on the sofa watching television, contact with babies and small children under 16 for the first 3 days
 - No isolation from family or friends is required
- Consider patient's occupation/activities/family commitments and social life
 - Does the patient work, or do they carry out any tasks outside of the house?
 - Any important upcoming family/social events (e.g. wedding)?

BEST PRACTICE: MANAGING BODILY FLUIDS DURING TREATMENT

- Bodily fluids are slightly radioactive for the first 7 days therefore precautions are required
 - Sit to void & double flush with the lid down after each use
 - Does the patient have a separate toilet at home they can use for one week post treatment?
 - If a shared toilet, wipe the toilet seat after use
 - Wipe carefully after bowel movement/some patients use gloves
 - When handling bodily fluids, simply wearing gloves and hand washing will protect caregivers
 - Soiled clothing should be washed promptly and separately from other clothing

RADIUM-223: SAFETY/RADIATION CONSIDERATIONS

- Patients should stay well hydrated, and their oral intake, fluid status, and urine output should be monitored while on treatment
 - Patients should promptly report signs of dehydration, hypovolemia, urinary retention, or renal failure / insufficiency
- There are **no restrictions regarding personal contact** (visual or physical proximity) with other people after receiving Ra-223
- Male patients should use condoms and their female partners of reproductive potential should use effective contraception during and for 6 months following completion of treatment
- Perform complete blood counts prior to treatment initiation and before every dose of Ra-223:
 - Discontinue treatment if haematologic values do not recover within 6 to 8 weeks after treatment.
 Monitor patients with compromised bone marrow reserve closely. Discontinue treatment in patients who experience life-threatening complications despite supportive care measures

¹⁷⁷LUTETIUM-PSMA-617: SAFETY/RADIATION CONSIDERATIONS

- Ensure patients increase oral fluid intake and advise patients to void as often as possible to reduce bladder radiation
- Advise patients to limit close contact (less than 3 feet) with household contacts for 2 days or with children and pregnant women for 7 days
- Following administration of ¹⁷⁷Lutetium-PSMA-617:
 - advise patients to refrain from sexual activity for 7 days
 - advise patients to sleep in a separate bedroom from household contacts for 3 days, from children for 7 days, or from pregnant women for 15 days
 - Advise male patients with female partners of reproductive potential to use effective contraception during treatment and for 14 weeks after the last dose

• Perform complete blood counts before and during treatment with ¹⁷⁷Lutetium-PSMA-617

 withhold, reduce dose, or permanently discontinue treatment and clinically treat patients based on the severity of myelosuppression

• Perform kidney function laboratory tests

- withhold, reduce dose, or permanently discontinue treatment based on severity

MANAGING BONE HEALTH

MUSCULOSKELETAL EVENTS

- Bone is the most common site of prostate cancer metastases and is associated with significant morbidity¹
- Bone decay with ADT is associated with an increase in fracture risk²
- When treated with ADT, over 58% of men with risk factors for skeletal complications develop at least one fracture within 12 years³
 - Men who sustained a fracture within 48 months experienced an almost 40% higher risk of mortality than those who did not

THE EFFECTS OF PROSTATE CANCER TREATMENT ON BONE

- Bone mass loss is associated with ADT
- We see skeletal related events in patient with bone mets
- Pathological fractures resulting in pain/risk of MSCC and reduce QoL
- Giving active therapy to treat bone mets can prolong survival
- Bone loss is most pronounced during initial ADT exposure but persists throughout treatment, increasing the longer patients are exposed
- Ultimately prevention is better than cure hormone therapy is associated with a 34% increase in fracture risk among men with non-metastatic PC and a 51% increase in fracture risk among men with metastatic PC
- Concomitant use of bone health agent is recommended to minimise fracture risk

ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; mets, metastases; MSCC, metastatic spinal cord compression; PC, prostate cancer; QoL, quality of life 1. Suzman D, et al. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2014;33:619-28; 2. El Badri S, et al. Curr Osteoporos Rep. 2019;17:527-37; 3. Hussain A, et al. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2019; 139: 108-16; 4. Beebe-Dimmer JL, et al. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2012;21(1):70-8.

PROPORTION EXPERIENCING FRACTURES 1-5 YEARS AFTER PROSTATE CANCER DIAGNOSIS

ADT RESULTED IN 21% - 54% INCREASE IN RELATIVE RISK OF FRACTURE



ADT, androgen deprivation therapy Shahinian VB, et al. N Engl J Med. 2005;352:154-64

BONE METASTASES AND SREs

- Approx. 90% of patients with mCRPC develop bone metastases^{1,2}
- Approx. 50% of PC patients with bone metastases will have SREs³



NUMBER OF FIRST CASES OF SRE⁴

Mean (SD) follow-up was 10.6 (11.6) months

mCRPC, metastatic castrate resistant prostate cancer; PC, prostate cancer; SREs, skeletal related events

1. Bubendorf L, et al. Hum Pathol. 2000;31:578-83; 2. Tannock IF, et al. N Engl J Med. 2004;352:1502-12; 3. Yong C, et al. Curr Opin Oncol. 2014;26:274-83;

4. Kawai A, et al. Prostate Cancer. 2019;2019:5971615

THINKING AHEAD

- DEXA studies show that bone mineral density falls by 2% to 6% per year at the lumbar spine and by 2% to 4% at the total hip during the first 1-2 years of ADT¹⁻⁴
 - This is a significantly faster rate of bone loss than in healthy controls or prostate cancer patients who are not receiving ADT¹⁻⁴
- Bone loss is most pronounced during initial ADT exposure, it persists throughout treatment. In one study of 390 men receiving long-term ADT for prostate cancer, the prevalence of osteoporosis was 35% at baseline, 43% after 2 years, 49% after 4 years, 66% after 8 years, and 81% after 10 or more years of ADT⁵
- Studies also have estimated the extent to which ADT increases fracture risk. In an analysis of SEER-Medicare linked records from more than 80,000 prostate cancer patients, gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist therapy was associated with a 34% increase in risk of fracture among men with non-metastatic prostate cancer, and a 51% increase in risk of fracture among men with metastatic prostate cancer⁶

ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; DEXA, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; SEER, Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results 1. Choo et al. International Journal of Radiation Oncology. 2013; 85(5):1239-4; 2. Alibhai SM, et al. Osteoporos Int. 2013;24(10):2571-2579.; 3. Brown SA, et al. Crit Rev Eukaryot Gene Expr. 2009;19:47–60; 4. Greenspan SL, et al. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90:6410–6417; 5. Morote J, et al. Urology. 2007;69(3):500-504; 6. Beebe-Dimmer JL, et al. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2012;21(1):70-8.

SUMMARY

- The landscape for mCRPC patients has evolved rapidly over the past few years providing clinicians with a greater choice of treatments, including radiopharmaceuticals
 - Radiopharmaceuticals such as Ra-223 and 177Lu-PSMA-617 offer a survival benefit to mCRPC patients as well as managing bone pain and QOL¹⁻⁴
- ~90% of men with advanced PC will develop bone metastasis which often lead to skeletal-related events⁵⁻⁷
 - Bone health agents are therefore recommended for patients with advanced prostate cancer
- Combining bisphosphonates or denosumab with newer therapies may improve outcomes. Post hoc data suggest that additive effects for such combinations are possible but further evaluation required⁸
- Real-world data supports using ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA in patients who previously received Ra-223⁹
- Nurses and patients should be aware of post-treatment precautions, but radiopharmaceuticals are an effective and manageable treatment option for mCRPC patients

¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617, lutetium-177-prostate-specific membrane antigen-617; mCRPC, metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer; mets, metastases; (m)PC, (metastatic) prostate cancer; QoL, quality of life

1. Parker C, et al. N Engl J Med. 2013;369:213-23; 2. Nilsson S, et al. Ann Oncol. 2016;27:868-74; 3. Sartor O, et al. N Engl J Med. 2021;385:1091-103; 4. Fizazi K, et al. Ann Oncol. 2021;32 suppl 5:S627-8; 5. Bubendorf L, et al. Hum Pathol. 2000;31:578-83; 6. Tannock IF, et al. N Engl J Med. 2004;352:1502-12; 7. Suzman D, et al. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2014;33:619-283. 8. Saad F, et al. Cancer Treat Rev 2018; 68:25-37; 9. Rahbar K, et al. J Nucl Med 2023; 64:574–578





For more information visit



Connect on LinkedIn @GU NURSES CONNECT



Visit us at https://cor2ed.com/



Follow us on Twitter @gunursesconnect

Heading to the heart of Independent Medical Education Since 2012